UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/086,821	05/29/1998	MARCO LARA	324212005500	8789
	7590 01/16/200 FOERSTER LLP	9	EXAMINER	
425 MARKET			SALAD, ABDULLAHI ELMI	
SAN FRANCIS	SCO, CA 94105-2482		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2457	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/16/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/086,821	LARA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Salad Abdullahi	2457	

	Salad Abdullahi	2457	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 December 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar</li> </ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	o). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	•	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
	t waisan to the date of filing a baist	حط لمصمعهم مطاعمه النب	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ol>	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	**	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			,
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	•	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.6-16.25 and 34-39. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Salad Abdullahi/		
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2457	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant alleges Hu at least fails to disclose or suggest "receiving a web page request at a first web server...the first web server assigned to service the request by an interceptor operable to allocate web requests...after receiving, determining whether a predetermined condition exists at the first web server." as required by claim 1.

Examiner respectfully disagrees, because Hu teaches For instance, network request manager 102 in a proxy mode of operation receives a client request from client 104. After receiving the client request a dynamic metric 814 is measured at t1. Policy module 206 generates a random number of 0.5, and compares the number to dynamic metric 814 as follows:

Pandom	number is:	Policy module 206
Random	number is:	Policy module 206

greater than or equal to but less than selects content server:

0	0.2	Α
0.2	0.3	В
0.3	0.4	С
0.4	0.8	Е
0.8	1.0	F

Thus, in this example policy module 206 selects content server E to service this client request. Policy module 206 accesses the current dynamic metric and selects content server F to service the client request.

Furthermore, . As shown in FIG. 9A and 9b is a diagram 900 illustrating network request manager 102 (in a proxy mode of operation or redirect mode) receives a client request from client 104. In proxy mode, network request manager 102 selects an appropriate content server 106 and forwards the client request on to the selected content server 106. Content server 106 services the client request and returns the results to network request manager 102. Network request manager then passes the results on to client 104. Thus, network request manager 102 acts as a proxy for client 104 when servicing the client request with content server 106. It appears to client 104 that network request manager 102 has serviced the client request: the proxy operation is invisible to client 104. In a preferred embodiment, proxy module 210 handles most failures of a content server 106 without disruption to client 104. If the failed content server was the last in the selected group, network request manager 102 might report the failure to client 104, with instructions to try again at a later time. However, in a preferred embodiment, proxy module 210 under these circumstances forwards the client request to a default content server 106. The default content server 106 services client requests from any proxy module 210 which was unable to provide a content server 106 to service the request.

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In re Merck & Co., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).